Meridian vol. 13 (3). 76-86. February 2025 ISSN: 2278-750x

CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES IN TRANSITIONING TRADITIONAL BUSINESS MODELS TO CIRCULAR BUSINESS MODELS

ASWATHY C.R,[1]Research Scholar, Department of Commerce, MES Asmabi College P. Vemballur, Kodungallur, Thrissur, Kerala affiliated to the University of Calicut.
e-mail id: aswathycr08@gmail.com , Ph :9656712498

CELIN DIFNA C.A., Research Scholar, Department of Commerce, MES Asmabi College P. Vemballur, Kodungallur, Thrissur, Kerala affiliated to the University of Calicut.
e-mail id: aswathycr08@gmail.com , Ph :9656712498 (Corresponding Author)

DR. PRINCY FRANCIS,[2] Assistant professor and Research supervisor, Department of Commerce, MES Asmabi College P. Vemballur, Kodungallur, Thrissur, Kerala, affiliated to the University of Calicut.
e-mail id: eprincyfrancis@gmail.com

Abstract

It is becoming more widely acknowledged that attaining sustainability in business requires a shift from conventional linear business models to circular business models. Using existing literature from a variety of industries, such as electronics, manufacturing, and retail, this study explores the opportunities and difficulties related to this shift. Financial limitations, operational inefficiencies, and customer reluctance are among the main issues noted; nevertheless, opportunities like cost reductions, the possibility for innovation, and regulatory backing provide methods to get past these obstacles. The results offer practical methods for companies to successfully adopt circular practices and suggest legislative measures to facilitate the shift. This study adds to the expanding body of knowledge on sustainable commerce by providing useful information to scholars, decision-makers, and business professionals.

Keywords: Circular Economy, Business Transition, Sustainability, Challenges, Opportunities

Introduction

The shift from conventional linear business models to circular business models (CBMs) is increasingly regarded as a key approach to fostering sustainability in commerce. Linear models, which follow a "take-make-dispose" pattern, significantly contribute to resource depletion and environmental harm. In contrast, CBMs focus on optimizing resource use, minimizing waste, and restoring natural ecosystems. This paper examines the challenges and opportunities businesses encounter during this transition and offers practical strategies backed by empirical research.

Literature Review

In recent years, the concept of the circular economy (CE) has gained significant attention, with extensive research exploring its advantages and practical applications. Kirchherr et al. (2017) define CE as "an economic system aimed at eliminating waste and the continual use of resources," emphasizing its role in tackling global sustainability challenges. Studies suggest that adopting circular business models (CBMs) can generate economic, environmental, and social benefits (Geissdoerfer et al., 2017).

Theoretical Framework

A large portion of CBM research is grounded in the Resource-Based View (RBV) hypothesis. Companies that successfully manage their internal resources—such as commodities, expertise, and

technologies—can gain a sustained competitive edge, claims Barney (1991). CBMs prioritize innovation and resource efficiency, which is in line with RBV.

The research of CBMs is also informed by Freeman's (1984) Stakeholder Theory. In order to achieve sustainable results, it highlights how crucial it is to involve all stakeholders, including suppliers, customers, and legislators. CBMs flourish in ecosystems where stakeholder engagement is valued highly.

Research Objectives

- Identify the key challenges businesses face in adopting CBMs.
- Highlight the opportunities that can incentivize this transition.
- Propose actionable strategies and policy recommendations to facilitate the transition.

Methodology

This study is entirely based on existing literature, employing a qualitative approach to synthesize insights from Scopus-indexed journals, peer-reviewed articles, case studies, and industry reports. The research focuses on identifying recurring themes and key findings related to circular business models in the manufacturing, retail, and electronics sectors. This literature-driven methodology provides a well-rounded understanding of the topic, firmly rooted in prior research.

Research Gap

There are still a number of gaps in the literature despite the increased interest in CBMs. First, SMEs are underrepresented in most research since they concentrate on large firms. Second, there is a dearth of empirical evidence on how consumer behavior affects CBM success. Third, there is still a lack of research on sector-specific issues, especially in supply chain-complex businesses. Filling in these gaps could yield more insightful analysis and useful suggestions for legislators and companies.

Challenges in Transitioning to CBMs

➢ Financial Constraints

Transitioning to CBMs often demands substantial initial investments in advanced technologies, infrastructure, and workforce training. Research by Rizos et al. (2016) highlights that small and medium enterprises (SMEs) face the most significant financial hurdles due to restricted access to capital.

➢ Operational Inefficiencies

Integrating circular practices can disrupt established business operations. For instance, modifying supply chains to incorporate reverse logistics—a fundamental aspect of CBMs—can introduce additional complexity and costs (Ghisellini et al., 2016).

➢ Consumer Resistance

Consumers who are accustomed to traditional linear consumption patterns may be reluctant to embrace changes such as product-as-a-service models. Limited awareness of CE's benefits further contributes to this resistance (Bocken et al., 2014)

Opportunities in Transitioning to CBMs

➢ Cost Savings

Reducing resource consumption and minimizing waste can lead to substantial cost savings for businesses. For example, a report by the Ellen MacArthur Foundation (2015) estimated that implementing circular economy principles could save European companies up to •600 billion annually.

➢ Innovation Potential

The shift to CBMs encourages innovation by driving the development of new products, services,

and processes. Examples include modular product designs and the use of biodegradable materials, which enhance sustainability and efficiency (Stahel, 2016).

➢ Regulatory Support

Governments worldwide are introducing policies to promote CBMs, such as extended producer responsibility (EPR) laws and tax incentives for sustainable practices. These measures help mitigate investment risks and create a more favorable environment for circular initiatives (European Commission, 2020).

Findings and Discussion

The literature review and synthesis uncovered several key insights. Financial constraints, especially for SMEs, remain a major obstacle, emphasizing the need for more accessible funding mechanisms. Operational inefficiencies, such as the complexity of reverse logistics, often deter businesses from transitioning to CBMs. Raising consumer awareness and promoting education are essential to overcoming resistance to circular practices. Additionally, governments and regulatory bodies play a crucial role in facilitating this transition through supportive policies and financial incentives.

Thematic Insights from Relevant Studies

Agricultural Waste Valorization : Shah et al. (2024) highlighted the potential of repurposing agricultural residues, such as rice straw ash, to enhance soil fertility. This approach aligns with CBMs by mitigating waste and promoting sustainable agricultural practices.

Digital Platforms : Wu et al. (2024) underscored the transformative impact of digital platforms in facilitating closed-loop supply chains and driving innovation within CBMs.

Sector-Specific Circular Strategies: Komasi et al. (2025) identified regional variations in circular readiness, emphasizing the need for tailored recycling and waste management strategies to effectively implement CBMs.

Circular Practices in Hospitality: Carvalho et al. (2024) showcased the successful integration of circular principles in agri-tourism, improving guest satisfaction and operational sustainability.

Educational Innovations: Salinas-Navarro et al. (2024) proposed integrating circular economy concepts into higher education to prepare future professionals for real-world sustainability challenges.

Discussion

These findings indicate that, while the transition to CBMs presents challenges, it can be successfully navigated through targeted strategies. Financial barriers can be alleviated by promoting public-private partnerships and implementing tax incentives for sustainable practices. Operational inefficiencies may be mitigated by leveraging digital technologies such as IoT and block chain to enhance supply chain efficiency. Additionally, consumer resistance can be reduced through awareness campaigns and by embedding circularity into branding and value propositions. Ultimately, collaboration among businesses, policymakers, and consumers is crucial for scaling circular practices effectively.

Strategies for Effective Transition

➢ Collaborative Partnerships

Building alliances with stakeholders across the value chain enables the pooling of resources and expertise, accelerating the adoption of CBMs.

➢ Consumer Education

Increasing awareness of circular practices through marketing campaigns and educational initiatives can drive consumer demand and support behavioral shifts.

- Technology Integration

Utilizing digital tools such as block chain and IoT enhances traceability and operational efficiency in circular supply chains.

- Policy Advocacy

Actively engaging with policymakers is crucial for shaping regulatory frameworks that support and incentivize circular business practices.

Policy Recommendations

1. Governments should incentivize circular practices through subsidies and grants for research and development.

2. Policies mandating waste reduction targets and promoting circular procurement can accelerate the transition.

3. Establishing public-private partnerships can drive large-scale adoption of CBMs.

Conclusion

The transition to circular business models presents both significant challenges and transformative opportunities. While financial, operational, and consumer-related barriers persist, the potential benefits in cost savings, innovation, and regulatory support are compelling. By adopting collaborative strategies and leveraging policy support, businesses can effectively navigate this transition and contribute to global sustainability goals.

References

Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. Journal of Management, 17(1), 99-120.

Bocken, N. M., de Pauw, I., Bakker, C., & van der Grinten, B. (2016). Product design and business model strategies for a circular economy. Journal of Industrial and Production Engineering, 33(5), 308-320.

Carvalho, P. T., Raimundo, R. J., & Lopes, J. D. (2024). Improving guest and owner satisfaction through a circular economy: An agritourism case study. Tourism and Hospitality, 5(4), 887-905.

Ellen MacArthur Foundation. (2015). Towards a Circular Economy: Business Rationale for an Accelerated Transition.

European Commission. (2020). Circular Economy Action Plan: For a cleaner and more competitive Europe.

Freeman, R. E. (1984). Strategic Management: A Stakeholder Approach. Pitman.

Geissdoerfer, M., Savaget, P., Bocken, N. M., & Hultink, E. J. (2017). The Circular Economy—A new sustainability paradigm? Journal of Cleaner Production, 143, 757-768.

Ghisellini, P., Cialani, C., & Ulgiati, S. (2016). A review on circular economy: The expected transition to a balanced interplay of environmental and economic systems. Journal of Cleaner Production, 114, 11-32.

Kirchherr, J., Reike, D., & Hekkert, M. (2017). Conceptualizing the circular economy: An analysis of 114 definitions. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 127, 221-232.

Komasi, H., Yazdi, A. K., Sani, M. E., & Tan, Y. (2025). Assessing the circular economy in regions of Chile by using multiple-criteria decision-making. Sustainability, 17(1), 23.

Rizos, V., Behrens, A., Kafyeke, T., Hirschnitz-Garbers, M., & Ioannou, A. (2016). Implementation of Circular Economy Business Models by Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises (SMEs): Barriers and Enablers. Sustainability, 8(11), 1212.

Salinas-Navarro, D. E., Palma-Mendoza, J. A., & Vilalta-Perdomo, E. (2024). Integrating circular supply chains into experiential learning: Enhancing learning experiences in higher education. Sustainability, 16(23), 10789.

Shah, T., Chaudhuri, R. R., Soni, U., Shrivastava, M., & Venkatesh, G. (2024). Characterising rice straw ash: Unlocking the potential of agricultural residues. Scientific Publishing House of the Cardinal Stefan Wyszynski University, 22(4), 99-116.

Stahel, W. R. (2016). The circular economy. Nature, 531(7595), 435-438.

Wu, H., Li, S., Hou, W., & Zhang, X. (2024). Leveraging digital platforms for circular economy: A value creation view. Sustainability, 16(24), 11180.